



Participant profile



Please note that the data presented are based on only six months of data and not a full year.




Service provider indicators



Plan utilisation



Outcomes framework



Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
<b>Core</b>	523	22	23.8	92%	0%	0%	0.5	0.4	73%	58%	70%
Consumables	416	31	13.4	97%	8%	0%	9.6	7.6	80%	52%	69%
Daily Activities	483	22	22.0	97%	17%	8%	5.4	3.3	61%	48%	68%
Transport	344	6	57.3	100%	0%	0%	0.4	0.3	79%	44%	66%
<b>Core total</b>	<b>756</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>17.6</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>15.9</b>	<b>11.6</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>67%</b>
<b>Capacity Building</b>	627	26	24.1	95%	0%	0%	0.5	0.4	90%	51%	66%
Choice and Control	867	41	21.1	93%	13%	13%	4.8	2.6	53%	53%	67%
Daily Activities	33	5	6.6	100%	0%	0%	0.2	0.1	33%	39%	47%
Employment	109	14	7.8	97%	0%	0%	0.5	0.2	40%	12%	63%
Relationships	124	7	17.7	100%	0%	0%	0.4	0.1	33%	44%	58%
Social and Civic	791	41	19.3	82%	29%	43%	1.1	0.5	49%	52%	67%
Support Coordination	<b>901</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>12.7</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>66%</b>
<b>Capacity Building total</b>	<b>901</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>12.7</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>66%</b>
<b>Capital</b>	174	20	8.7	95%	67%	0%	0.8	0.4	44%	70%	72%
Assistive Technology	31	4	7.8	100%	100%	0%	0.2	0.1	58%	50%	68%
Home Modifications	<b>189</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>72%</b>
<b>Capital total</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>72%</b>
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
<b>All support categories</b>	<b>918</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>24.5</b>	<b>16.1</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>65%</b>

*Note: Only the major support categories are shown.*

*Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown.*

*Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% for the six month exposure period considered, due to the uneven distribution of payments over the duration of a plan. In addition, the utilisation rate for core supports may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitation.*

**Indicator definitions**


- Active participants with approved plans:** Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
- Active providers:** Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period.
- Participants per provider:** Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers.
- Provider concentration:** Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers.
- Provider growth:** Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
- Provider shrinkage:** Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
- Total plan budgets:** Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period.
- Payments:** Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People in Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)).
- Utilisation:** Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
- Outcomes indicator on choice and control:** Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them.
- Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?:** Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.

The green dots indicate the top 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively well under the given metric. The red dots indicate the bottom 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively poorly under the given metric.





*Note: A higher score is considered to be 'good' performance under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. For other metrics, a lower score is considered to be 'good' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.*

Participant profile

Please note that the data presented are based on only six months of data and not a full year.





Service provider indicators



Plan utilisation



Outcomes framework



Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
<b>Core</b>											
Consumables	27	8	3.4	100%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	70%	23%	85%
Daily Activities	33	9	3.7	100%	0%	0%	3.4	3.3	98%	19%	83%
Community	33	6	5.5	100%	25%	0%	0.7	0.4	59%	19%	79%
Transport	34	3	11.3	100%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	45%	18%	80%
<b>Core total</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>80%</b>
<b>Capacity Building</b>											
Choice and Control	30	6	5.0	100%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	91%	20%	82%
Daily Activities	34	8	4.3	100%	0%	100%	0.1	0.1	51%	21%	83%
Employment	2	1	2.0	100%	0%	100%	0.0	0.0	66%	0%	100%
Relationships	11	2	5.5	100%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	38%	0%	100%
Social and Civic	1	1	1.0	100%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	95%	0%	0%
Support Coordination	34	13	2.6	96%	0%	0%	0.1	0.0	64%	21%	83%
<b>Capacity Building total</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>80%</b>
<b>Capital</b>											
Assistive Technology	11	7	1.6	100%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	85%	30%	86%
Home Modifications	15	1	15.0	100%	0%	0%	0.1	0.0	32%	27%	73%
<b>Capital total</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>82%</b>
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
<b>All support categories</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>80%</b>

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.

Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown.

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% for the six month exposure period considered, due to the uneven distribution of payments over the duration of a plan. In addition, the utilisation rate for core supports may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitation.

**Indicator definitions**


- Active participants with approved plans**: Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
- Active providers**: Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period.
- Participants per provider**: Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers.
- Provider concentration**: Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers.
- Provider growth**: Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
- Provider shrinkage**: Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
- Total plan budgets**: Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period.
- Payments**: Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People in Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)).
- Utilisation**: Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
- Outcomes indicator on choice and control**: Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them.
- Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?**: Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.

The green dots indicate the top 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively well under the given metric. The red dots indicate the bottom 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively poorly under the given metric.





Note: A higher score is considered to be 'good' performance under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. For other metrics, a lower score is considered to be 'good' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.

Participant profile

Please note that the data presented are based on only six months of data and not a full year.



Service provider indicators



Plan utilisation



Outcomes framework



Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
<b>Core</b>											
Consumables	496	20	24.8	94%	0%	0%	0.5	0.3	73%	61%	68%
Daily Activities	383	28	13.7	96%	8%	25%	6.2	4.3	69%	55%	68%
Community	450	22	20.5	97%	17%	8%	4.7	2.9	61%	50%	67%
Transport	310	6	51.7	100%	0%	0%	0.4	0.3	82%	47%	64%
<b>Core total</b>	<b>722</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>18.1</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>11.7</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>65%</b>
<b>Capacity Building</b>											
Choice and Control	597	26	23.0	95%	0%	0%	0.5	0.4	90%	54%	64%
Daily Activities	833	40	20.8	93%	13%	25%	4.7	2.5	54%	55%	65%
Employment	31	5	6.2	100%	0%	100%	0.2	0.1	29%	42%	44%
Relationships	98	14	7.0	97%	0%	0%	0.4	0.2	40%	16%	47%
Social and Civic	123	6	20.5	100%	0%	0%	0.4	0.1	33%	45%	59%
Support Coordination	757	39	19.4	84%	29%	29%	1.0	0.5	48%	55%	65%
<b>Capacity Building total</b>	<b>866</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>12.7</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>64%</b>
<b>Capital</b>											
Assistive Technology	163	18	9.1	96%	67%	0%	0.8	0.3	41%	73%	71%
Home Modifications	16	3	5.3	100%	100%	0%	0.1	0.1	104%	73%	64%
<b>Capital total</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>70%</b>
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
<b>All support categories</b>	<b>883</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>9.6</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>19.8</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>64%</b>

**Indicator definitions**

- Active participants with approved plans:** Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
- Active providers:** Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period.
- Participants per provider:** Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers.
- Provider concentration:** Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers.
- Provider growth:** Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
- Provider shrinkage:** Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
- Total plan budgets:** Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period.
- Payments:** Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People in Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)).
- Utilisation:** Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
- Outcomes indicator on choice and control:** Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them.
- Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?:** Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.

The green dots indicate the top 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively well under the given metric. The red dots indicate the bottom 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively poorly under the given metric.

Note: A higher score is considered to be 'good' performance under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. For other metrics, a lower score is considered to be 'good' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.