Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants





Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	10,173	194	52.4 🔴	74%	5%	13%	9.7	6.2	64%	49%	74%
Daily Activities	6,044	311	19.4	56%	10%	17%	149.3	130.9	88%	48%	75%
Community	7,250	220	33.0	69%	12%	13%	84.6	51.6	61%	46%	73%
Transport	4,428	49	90.4 🔴	75%	33%	33% 🔴	8.8	9.1	104% 🔵	45%	75%
Core total	11,515	429	26.8	57%	10%	19%	252.4	197.7	78%	49%	73%
Capacity Building											
Choice and Control	8,016	186	43.1	73%	0%	7% 🔵	5.8	5.9	101%	47%	73%
Daily Activities	11.621	345	33.7	69%	9%	12%	70.6	41.2	58%	49%	73%
Employment	443	51	8.7 🔵	70%	4%	17%	3.1	1.9	62%	40%	73%
Relationships	1,028	103	10.0 🔵	48%	13%	26% 🔴	5.8	3.2	55%	17% 🔴	73%
Social and Civic	1,027	40	25.7	79% 🔴	0% 🔴	20%	+ 1.9	+ 0.6	31% 🔴	51%	69%
Support Coordination	5,316	333	16.0	38%	8%	10% 🔵	12.4	9.8	79%	45%	73%
Capacity Building total	11,759	606	19.4	55%	8%	13%	100.4	62.9	63%	49%	73%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	2,102	154	13.6	43%	7%	25%	11.3	5.5	49%	59%	78%
Home Modifications	678	52	13.0	77%	29%	12%	3.1	2.2	70%	43%	80%
Capital total	2,340	178	13.1	43%	11%	23%	14.4	7.7	53%	54%	78%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	11,869	837	14.2	55%	10%	18%	367.1	268.2	73%	50%	73%

Note Conty the major support astegories are shown. Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown. Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% for the six month exposure period considered, due to the uneven distribution of payments over the duration of a plan. In addition, the utilisation rate for core supports may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider shrinkage Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active parcicipants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered. Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)). Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them. Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.
•	The green dots indicate the top 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively well under the given metric.
	under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. d' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.

Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants Receiving SIL/SDA





Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants Receiving SIL/SDA



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	309	45	6.9	82%	0%	11%	+ 0.6	0.4	71%	18%	80%
Daily Activities	338	81	4.2	58%	15%	20%	45.8	43.3	94%	19%	80%
Community	329	63	5.2	78%	15%	21% 🔴	12.3	7.7	63%	17%	80%
Transport	333	16	20.8 🔴	87%	0%	0% 🔵	0.5	0.3	67%	18%	80%
Core total	341	118	2.9	55%	14%	19%	59.1	51.7	87%	18%	80%
Capacity Building											
Choice and Control	303	40	7.6	85%	0%	0%	0.2	+ 0.2	100%	17%	80%
Daily Activities	339	71	4.8	77%	0% 🔴	17%	2.1	1.3	61%	18%	80%
Employment	8	5	1.6 🔵	100% 🔴	0% 🔴	0% 🔵	+ 0.1	0.0	36% 🔴	25%	88%
Relationships	200	55	3.6	55%	16% 🔵	11%	1.6	1.0	63%	13%	76%
Social and Civic	6	3	2.0	100% 🔴	0% 🔴	0% 🔵	0.0	0.0	78%	83%	83%
Support Coordination	341	84	4.1	52%	10%	19%	1.3	1.1	83%	18%	80%
Capacity Building total	342	164	2.1	46%	9%	12%	5.3	3.6	68%	18%	80%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	125	28	4.5	78%	0% 🔴	100% 🔴	0.7	+ 0.3	37% 🔴	21%	81%
Home Modifications	278	14	19.9 🔴	99%	50%	0% 🔵	1.5	1.1	70%	16% 🔵	80%
Capital total	293	42	7.0	84%	25%	50%	2.2	1.3	60%	18%	80%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	342	235	1.5	52%	13%	19%	66.7	56.7	85%	18%	80%

Note Concern the major support assesses Note: Concern the major support astegories are shown. Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown. Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider shrinkage Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active parcicipants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered. Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)). Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them. Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.
•	The green dots indicate the top 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively well under the given metric.
	under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. d' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.

Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants Not Receiving SIL/SDA





Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants Not Receiving SIL/SDA



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
-											
Core											
Consumables	9,864	185	53.3 🔴	74%	5%	8%	9.1	5.8	63%	52%	74%
Daily Activities	5,706	280	20.4	69%	10%	20%	103.5	87.6	85%	50%	75%
Community	6,921	206	33.6	69%	12%	11%	72.4	43.9	61%	48%	73%
Transport	4,095	45	91.0 📕	79%	33%	33% 🔴	8.3	8.7	106%	47%	74%
Core total	11,174	388	28.8	67%	9%	18%	193.2	145.9	76%	51%	72%
Capacity Building											
Choice and Control	7,713	186	41.5	73%	2%	7%	5.6	5.6	101%	49%	72%
Daily Activities	11.282	334	33.8	69%	10%	12%	68.5	40.0	58%	51%	72%
Employment	435	51	8.5	70%	4%	17%	3.1	1.9	62%	40%	72%
Relationships	828	94	8.8	53%	17%	28%	4.2	2.2	52%	19% 🔴	71%
Social and Civic	1.021	40	25.5	78%	0%	20%	1.9	• 0.6	30%	51%	68%
Support Coordination	4.975	329	15.1	38%	8%	10%	11.1	8.7	79%	48%	73%
Capacity Building total	11,417	591	19.3	56%	7%	15%	95.1	59.3	62%	51%	72%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	1.977	151	13.1	43%	7%	24%	10.6	5.3	50%	62%	77%
Home Modifications	400	38	10.5	82%	18%	18%	1.6	+ 1.1	69%	64%	80%
Capital total	2,047	162	12.6	43%	8%	24%	12.2	6.3	52%	61%	77%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	11.527	799	14.4	63%	8%	18%	300.5	211.6	70%	52%	72%

Note Conty the major support astegories are shown. Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown. Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% for the six month exposure period considered, due to the uneven distribution of payments over the duration of a plan. In addition, the utilisation rate for core supports may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider shrinkage Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active parcicipants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered. Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)). Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them. Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.
•	The green dots indicate the top 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively well under the given metric.
	under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. d' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.