



Participant profile


Please note that the data presented are based on only six months of data and not a full year.



Service provider indicators



Plan utilisation



LGA: Bega Valley (A) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Outcomes indicator on choice and control

by age group

Age Group	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales
0 to 6	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
7 to 14	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
15 to 24	~25%	~20%
25 plus	~65%	~55%

by primary disability

Primary Disability	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales
Autism	~35%	~30%
Developmental Delay and Global Developmental Delay	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Intellectual Disability and Down Syndrome	~30%	~25%
Psychosocial disability	~75%	~60%
Other disabilities	~70%	~65%

by level of function

Level of Function	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales
High	~85%	~75%
Medium	~65%	~60%
Low	~35%	~30%

by remoteness rating

Remoteness Rating	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales
Major Cities	10 or fewer participants	~50%
Regional	~55%	~50%
Remote/Very remote	10 or fewer participants	~55%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

by Indigenous status

Indigenous Status	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales
Indigenous	~55%	~50%
Non-Indigenous	~65%	~55%
Not stated	~45%	~45%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

by CALD status

CALD Status	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales
CALD	~55%	~50%
Non-CALD	~55%	~50%
Not stated	~55%	~50%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

Proportion of participants who reported that they choose who supports them

Region	Proportion
Bega Valley (A)	57%
New South Wales*	52%

Relative to state average: 1.10x

* This is the weighted state average

Has the NDIS helped you have more choices and more control over your life?

by age group

Age Group	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales*
0 to 6	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
7 to 14	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
15 to 24	~65%	~65%
25 plus	~80%	~78%

by primary disability

Primary Disability	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales*
Autism	~65%	~65%
Developmental Delay and Global Developmental Delay	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Intellectual Disability and Down Syndrome	~65%	~65%
Psychosocial disability	~75%	~65%
Other disabilities	~65%	~65%

by level of function

Level of Function	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales*
High	~65%	~65%
Medium	~65%	~65%
Low	~85%	~75%

by remoteness rating

Remoteness Rating	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales*
Major Cities	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Regional	~65%	~65%
Remote/Very remote	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

by Indigenous status

Indigenous Status	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales*
Indigenous	~70%	~65%
Non-Indigenous	~80%	~75%
Not stated	~70%	~75%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

by CALD status

CALD Status	Bega Valley (A)	New South Wales*
CALD	10 or fewer participants	~75%
Non-CALD	~75%	~75%
Not stated	10 or fewer participants	100%
Missing	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants

Proportion of participants who reported that the NDIS has helped with choice and control

Region	Proportion
Bega Valley (A)	76%
New South Wales*	74%

Relative to state average: 1.02x

* This is the weighted state average

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Average plan budget (\$)	Payments (\$m)	Average payments (\$)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core										
Consumables	441	21	21.0	0.4	963	0.3	637	66%	58%	78%
Daily Activities	425	27	15.7	12.1	28,546	10.6	24,902	87%	55%	80%
Community	448	22	20.4	5.8	13,014	3.9	8,734	67%	52%	77%
Transport	312	7	44.6	0.4	1,310	0.4	1,261	96%	48%	80%
Core total	577	35	16.5	18.8	32,575	15.2	26,292	81%	57%	77%
Capacity Building										
Choice and Control	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	10 or fewer participants	56%	77%
Daily Activities	693	30	23.1	3.4	4,963	1.9	2,800	56%	56%	76%
Employment	26	3	8.7	0.2	7,513	0.1	4,522	60%	44%	77%
Relationships	60	10	6.0	0.3	4,246	0.1	1,885	44%	29%	87%
Social and Civic	60	6	10.0	0.1	2,279	0.1	1,172	51%	56%	76%
Support Coordination	251	26	9.7	0.6	2,336	0.4	1,592	68%	55%	80%
Capacity Building total	701	56	12.5	5.1	7,228	3.1	4,359	60%	56%	76%
Capital										
Assistive Technology	165	17	9.7	0.6	3,829	0.4	2,174	57%	63%	81%
Home Modifications	47	5	9.4	0.2	5,273	0.2	5,143	98%	58%	79%
Capital total	180	19	9.5	0.9	4,886	0.6	3,336	68%	64%	82%
All support categories	713	67	10.6	24.7	34,701	18.8	26,404	76%	57%	76%
Note: Only the major support categories are shown.										
Note: The Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown.										
Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% for the six month exposure period considered, due to the uneven distribution of payments over the duration of a plan.										
In addition, the utilisation rate for core supports may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitation.										

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the LGA / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the LGA / support category, over the exposure period.
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers.
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period.
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)).
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them.
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.