Service District: Far West (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | All Participants





Service District: Far West (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | All Participants



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	468	38	12.3	84% 🔵	0% 🔴	0%	0.4	0.2	54%	52%	79%
Daily Activities	377	36	10.5	91%	10% 🔵	29%	9.8	7.2	74%	50%	80%
Community	425	32	13.3 🔴	88%	0%	18%	6.9	4.1	59%	47%	78%
Transport	287	6	47.8 🔴	100% 🔴	0%	0% 🔍	0.4	0.4	101% 🔵	45%	80%
Core total	561	66	8.5	88%	0%	21%	17.5	11.9	68%	50%	78%
Capacity Building											
Choice and Control	401	35	11.5	88%	0%	0%	0.4	0.4	98%	47%	76%
Daily Activities	662	54	12.3	85%	0%	25%	4.0	1.4	34%	50%	78%
Employment	27	8	3.4 🔵	100%	0% 🔴	75%	0.3	0.1	38%	41%	75%
Relationships	58	11	5.3 🔵	100%	0% 🔴	50%	0.3	• 0.1	26%	16% 🔴	70%
Social and Civic	61	8	7.6	100% 🔴	0% 🔴	0%	0.2	+ 0.0	25%	50%	66%
Support Coordination	262	34	7.7	88%	14% 🔵	0% 🔵	0.6	0.4	56%	44%	76%
Capacity Building total	665	79	8.4	81%	6%	28%	5.9	2.3	39%	50%	78%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	145	17	8.5	96%	0% 🔴	100% 🔴	0.6	0.1	23%	58%	86%
Home Modifications	37	5	7.4	100%	0%	100%	0.3	0,1	30%	62%	96%
Capital total	151	20	7.6	94%	0%	83%	0.9	0.2	25%	57%	87%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	667	109	6.1	83%	5%	37%	24.3	14.5	60%	50%	78%

Note Concern the major support astegories are shown. Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown. Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown. Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider shrinkage Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active parcicipants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered. Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)). Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them. Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.
•	The green dots indicate the top 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively well under the given metric.
	under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. d' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.

Service District: Far West (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants Receiving SIL/SDA





Service District: Far West (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants Receiving SIL/SDA



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core					-						
Consumables	18	6	3.0	100%	0% 🔵	0% 🔵	÷ 0.0	• 0.0	50%	11%	88% 🔴
Daily Activities	19	7	2.7	100% 🔴	20%	40% 🔴	3.1	2.8	90%	16%	88%
Community	19	4	4.8	100% 🔴	0%	25%	0.7	0.6	81%	16%	88%
Transport	19	0	0.0	0%	0% 🔵	0%	0.0	• 0.0	132%	16%	88%
Core total	19	12	1.6	100%	20%	40%	3.9	3.4	88%	16%	88%
Capacity Building											
Choice and Control	14	1	14.0 🔴	100%	0%	0%	+ 0.0	+ 0.0	107%	21%	92%
Daily Activities	19	3	6.3	100% 🔴	0%	0%	0.1	0.0	39%	16%	88%
Employment	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	+ 0.0	0.0	0% 🔴	0%	0%
Relationships	10	1	10.0 🔴	100%	0%	0%	0.1	+ 0.0	10% 🔴	10%	100%
Social and Civic	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
Support Coordination	19	3	6.3	100%	0%	100%	0.0	0.0	77%	16%	88%
Capacity Building total	19	4	4.8	100%	0%	50%	0.3	0.1	42%	16%	88%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	10	2	5.0	100%	0%	0%	0.0	+ 0.0	47%	20%	89%
Home Modifications	6	1	6.0	100%	0%	0%	0.1	+ 0.0	40%	0%	100%
Capital total	12	3	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0.1	0.0	42%	17%	91%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	19	13	1.5	100%	17%	33%	4.2	3.6	85%	16%	88%

Note Concern the major support astegories are shown. Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown. Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown. Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider sprowth Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers. Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered. Proportion of providers for which payments have should by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)). Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them. Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.
•	The green dots indicate the top 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively well under the given metric.
	under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. od' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.

Service District: Far West (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants Not Receiving SIL/SDA





Service District: Far West (phase-in date: 1 July 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants Not Receiving SIL/SDA



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
-											
Core											
Consumables	450	36	12.5	84%	0%	0%	0.4	0.2	54%	55%	79%
Daily Activities	358	34	10.5	86%	10%	30%	6.7	4.4	66%	52%	79%
Community	406	32	12.7 📃	88%	0% 🔴	13%	6.2	3.5	56%	49%	78%
Transport	268	6	44.7 📕	100% 🔴	0%	0%	0.4	0.4	98% 🔵	48%	79%
Core total	542	62	8.7	86%	0%	22%	13.7	8.5	62%	52%	78%
Capacity Building											
Choice and Control	387	35	11.1	88%	0% 🔴	0%	0.4	0.3	98%	49%	75%
Daily Activities	643	53	12.1	85%	0% 🔴	25%	3.9	1.3	34%	52%	77%
Employment	27	8	3.4 🔵	100%	0% 🔴	50%	0.3	0.1	39%	41% 🔴	75%
Relationships	48	11	4.4	100%	0% 🔴	50%	0.3	0.1	29%	18%	61%
Social and Civic	61	8	7.6	100%	0%	0%	0.2	0.0	25%	50%	66%
Support Coordination	243	34	7.1	86%	14%	0%	0.6	0.3	55%	47%	74%
Capacity Building total	646	78	8.3	80%	6%	28%	5.7	2.2	39%	52%	78%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	135	17	7.9	96%	0% 🔴	100% 🔴	0.6	0.1	22%	61%	86%
Home Modifications	31	4	7.8	100%	0%	100%	0.2	0.1	27%	75%	95%
Capital total	139	19	7.3	95%	0%	83%	0.8	0.2	23%	61%	87%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	648	106	6.1	80%	5%	38%	20.1	10.9	54%	52%	77%

Note Concern the major support astegories are shown. Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown. Note: Capacity Building total includes Health and Wellbeing, Home Living and Lifelong Learning although these support categories are not shown. Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to fungibility which refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain lin

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan.
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider shrinkage Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active parcicipants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period. Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered. Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered.
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)). Ratio between payments and total plan budgets.
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them. Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control.
•	The green dots indicate the top 10 percentile of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric. In other words, performing relatively well under the given metric.
	under some metrics. For example, a high utilisation rate is a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. d' performance. For example, a low provider concentration is a sign of a competitive market.