Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants





Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	9,654	187	51.6 🔴	73%	3%	25%	9.6	5.1	53%	49%	70%
Daily Activities	6,188	301	20.6	57%	14%	17%	137.8	120.6	87%	47%	72%
Community	7,096	212	33.5	67%	22%	12%	78.1	39.3	50%	46%	70%
Transport	4,144	51	81.3 🔴	77%	0%	0%	8.7	8.5	98%	44%	72%
Core total	10,566	413	25.6	57%	13%	15%	234.2	173.5	74%	49%	70%
Capacity Building											
Daily Activities	10,729	341	31.5	67%	11%	18%	65.2	33.2	51%	48%	70%
Employment	513	44	11.7	73%	10%	29%	3.8	2.0	52%	41%	72%
Relationships	837	101	8.3	45%	16%	13%	4.9	2.2	46%	16% 🔴	72%
Social and Civic	953	41	23.2	82%	0%	40%	1.9	+ 0.5	26%	54%	65%
Support Coordination	5,035	284	17.7	39%	6%	21%	11.5	7.7	67%	45%	70%
Capacity Building total	10,875	561	19.4	53%	10%	16%	93.3	50.8	54%	48%	70%
apital											
Assistive Technology	2,088	143	14.6	48%	11%	46% 🔴	13.2	6.3	47%	58%	75%
Home Modifications	672	45	14.9	62%	12%	53% 🔴	2.6	2.1	82%	44%	79%
Capital total	2,300	167	13.8	42%	13%	47%	15.8	8.4	53%	53%	76%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	10,983	776	14.2	54%	12%	23%	343.4	232.7	68%	49%	69%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitat

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	ed a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. ed a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | SIL/SDA Participants





Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | SIL/SDA Participants



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped wit choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	288	44	6.5	84%	0%	14%	0.5	+ 0.3	52%	18%	80%
Daily Activities	305	74	4.1	58%	19%	12%	40.8	40.0	98%	18%	81%
Community	299	59	5.1	75%	21%	12 %	11.1	5.2	47%	17%	80%
Transport	301	17	17.7	93%	0%	0%	0.5	0.3	61%	17%	81%
Core total	305	108	2.8	55%	20%	10%	53.0	45.8	86%	17 %	81%
Core total	305	100	2.0	50%	20 %	10 %	0010	45.6	0076	16 /8	01/0
apacity Building											
Daily Activities	301	71	4.2	74%	0%	25%	1.8	1.0	53%	18%	80%
Employment	10	6	1.7	100%	0%	100%	+ 0.1	+ 0.1	52%	40%	100%
Relationships	165	48	3.4	55%	13%	13%	1.3	0.7	56%	12%	77%
Social and Civic	9	1	9.0	100%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	28%	44%	88%
Support Coordination	305	75	4.1	49%	0%	26%	1.1	0.8	71%	17%	80%
Capacity Building total	306	151	2.0	45%	2%	23%	4.6	2.8	60%	17%	81%
apital											
Assistive Technology	111	25	4.4	89%	33%	17%	0.8	0.4	53%	19%	80%
Home Modifications	251	8	31.4 🔴	100%	0%	50%	1.3	1.1	89%	16%	79%
Capital total	261	33	7.9	83%	20%	30%	2.1	1.6	75%	17%	79%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	306	202	1.5	54%	14%	14%	59.7	50.2	84%	17%	81%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitation

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period Raito between the number of active participants and the number of active providers Proportion of provider symmets over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration
	a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. Itered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | Non-SIL/SDA Participants





Service District: Southern Melbourne (phase-in date: 1 September 2018) | Support Category: All | Non-SIL/SDA Participants



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
••••••			• •		1						1
Core											
Consumables	9,366	178	52.6	72%	0%	24%	9.0	4.8	53%	51%	70%
Daily Activities	5,883	276	21.3	68%	16% 🔵	17%	97.0	80.5	83%	49%	71%
Community	6,797	201	33.8	68%	25%	7%	67.1	34.1	51%	48%	69%
Transport	3,843	46	83.5 🔴	80%	0%	0%	8.2	8.2	101% 🔵	46%	71%
Core total	10,261	379	27.1	67%	12%	16%	181.2	127.7	70%	50%	69%
Capacity Building											
Daily Activities	10,428	329	31.7	67%	10%	16%	63.4	32.2	51%	50%	69%
Employment	503	44	11.4	72%	10%	29%	3.6	1.9	52%	41%	71%
Relationships	672	87	7.7 🔴	48%	16%	20%	3.6	1.5	43%	18% 🔴	69%
Social and Civic	944	41	23.0	82%	0%	40%	1.9	+ 0.5	26%	54%	64%
Support Coordination	4,730	280	16.9	40%	5%	20%	10.4	6.9	67%	47%	69%
Capacity Building total	10,569	543	19.5	54%	11%	17%	88.7	48.0	54%	50%	69%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	1,977	138	14.3	47%	11%	47%	12.4	5.8	47%	60%	75%
Home Modifications	421	37	11.4	66%	15%	54%	1.3	1.0	75%	64%	78%
Capital total	2,039	154	13.2	43%	13%	49%	13.7	6.8	50%	60%	75%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	10.677	740	14.4	61%	12%	25%	283.7	182.5	64%	51%	69%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitati

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	ed a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. ed a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.