Service District: Bayside Peninsula (phase-in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants





Service District: Bayside Peninsula (phase-in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core											
	10.000					1.001	13.5		0.101	500/	2 4 6 4
Consumables	12,930	212	61.0	74%	0%	15%	231.6	8.2	61%	53%	74%
Daily Activities	9,514	306	31.1	57%	15%	15%		194.3	84%	52%	74%
Community	11,122	249	44.7	71%	15%	23%	128.0	59.6	47%	51%	74%
Transport	6,944	58	119.7 📕	73%	0%	17%	12.3	11.2	91% 🔍	50%	75%
Core total	14,187	467	30.4	58%	15%	16%	385.4	273.3	71%	54%	73%
apacity Building											
Daily Activities	14.135	347	40.7	69%	8%	17%	92.4	49.0	53%	53%	73%
Employment	666	53	12.6	68%	8%	31%	4.1	• 1.9	47%	48%	72%
Relationships	1,248	99	12.6	51%	13%	18%	7.3	3.1	42%	18%	72%
Social and Civic	2.210	69	32.0	76%	5%	11%	6.6	2.1	31%	57%	69%
Support Coordination	7,314	330	22.2	34%	6%	15%	19.2	13.0	68%	50%	72%
Capacity Building total	14,290	604	23.7	52%	8%	16%	137.3	75.4	55%	54%	73%
apital											
Assistive Technology	3.003	172	17.5	47%	8%	44%	18.3	8.1	45%	58%	78%
Home Modifications	1,283	60	21.4	58%	4%	35%	6.1	4.6	75%	36%	77%
Capital total	3,493	207	16.9	39%	8%	43%	24.4	12.7	52%	51%	77%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	14.429	879	16.4	54%	10%	21%	547.0	361.4	66%	54%	73%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitati

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of provider power the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 02% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	d a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. I a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

Service District: Bayside Peninsula (phase-in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | SIL/SDA Participants





Service District: Bayside Peninsula (phase-in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | SIL/SDA Participants



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped w choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	787	89	8.8	78%	0%	20%	1.7	0.8	45%	15%	77%
Daily Activities	858	117	7.3	59%	23%	15%	90.3	82.9	92%	17%	78%
Community	844	87	9.7	70%	13%	25%	26.8	11.7	43%	17%	78%
Transport	844	33	25.6	85%	0%	0%	1.6	• 0.9	60%	16%	78%
Core total	861	192	4.5	52%	18%	14%	120.4	96.3	80%	17%	78%
Capacity Building											
Daily Activities	852	113	7.5	72%	4%	15%	5.0	2.4	49%	17%	78%
Employment	30	13	2.3	98% 🔴	0%	100%	+ 0.2	0.1	32%	33%	72%
Relationships	360	62	5.8	56%	14%	10%	2.4	► 1.1	46%	11% 🔴	75%
Social and Civic	51	14	3.6	97% 🔴	0%	0%	+ 0.3	0.1	27% 🔴	38% 🔵	76%
Support Coordination	857	103	8.3	40%	5%	19%	2.7	2.0	73%	17%	78%
Capacity Building total	861	209	4.1	41%	5%	19%	11.3	6.3	56%	17%	78%
apital											
Assistive Technology	346	59	5.9	65%	7%	71%	2.8	+ 1.0	35%	17%	77%
Home Modifications	745	22	33.9 🔴	85%	0%	33%	4.0	3.2	78%	14%	76%
Capital total	767	80	9.6	57%	4%	54%	6.8	4.1	61%	14%	77%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	861	332	2.6	49%	10%	21%	138.5	106.7	77%	17%	78%

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have struct by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. ered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

Service District: Bayside Peninsula (phase-in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | Non-SIL/SDA Participants





Service District: Bayside Peninsula (phase-in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | Non-SIL/SDA Participants



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
				oonoona anon	gi o nai	on mago			otmouton		
Core											
Consumables	12,143	181	67.1 🔴	75%	0%	16%	11.9	7.5	63%	57%	73%
Daily Activities	8,656	262	33.0	74%	17% 🔵	14%	141.3	111.4	79%	57%	74%
Community	10,278	227	45.3	74%	19%	16%	101.2	48.0	47%	55%	73%
Transport	6,100	45	135.6 🔴	76%	0%	0%	10.7	10.2	95%	55%	74%
Core total	13,326	400	33.3	73%	14%	14%	265.0	177.0	67%	58%	73%
Capacity Building											
Daily Activities	13,283	315	42.2	69%	9%	11%	87.4	46.6	53%	58%	73%
Employment	636	53	12.0	68%	4%	32%	3.8	1.8	48%	49%	72%
Relationships	888	85	10.4 🔵	52%	8%	19%	4.9	2.0	40%	23%	68%
Social and Civic	2,159	66	32.7	78%	5%	16%	6.4	2.0	31%	58%	68%
Support Coordination	6,457	320	20.2	37% 🔵	6%	13%	16.5	11.1	67%	55%	71%
Capacity Building total	13,429	571	23.5	54%	10%	11%	126.0	69.1	55%	58%	73%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	2,657	158	16.8	46%	10%	40%	15.5	7.2	46%	66%	78%
Home Modifications	538	40	13.5	71%	7%	29%	2.0	1.4	69%	71%	79%
Capital total	2,726	174	15.7	44%	10%	42%	17.5	8.6	49%	65%	78%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.0	0.0	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	13.568	798	17.0	66%	10%	20%	408.5	254.7	62%	58%	72%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitati

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the service district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of providers that received payments for supports provided to participants within the service district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of active providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of service districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	ed a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. ed a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.