







Participant profile





Service provider indicators



Plan utilisation



Outcomes framework



Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	184	17	10.8	96%	0%	0%	0.16	0.08	49%	44%	33%
Daily Activities	184	20	9.2	91%	33%	33%	5.26	2.23	42%	44%	33%
Community	184	10	18.4	100%	25%	25%	2.53	0.49	19%	44%	33%
Transport	182	7	26.0	100%	0%	0%	0.21	0.06	27%	44%	33%
Core total	184	31	5.9	91%	40%	20%	8.16	2.85	35%	44%	33%
Capacity Building											
Daily Activities	187	17	11.0	99%	29%	0%	1.53	0.60	39%	45%	34%
Employment	36	1	36.0	100%	0%	0%	0.08	0.01	8%	50%	18%
Relationships	12	3	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0.11	0.01	6%	9%	13%
Social and Civic	123	6	20.5	100%	0%	0%	0.51	0.06	11%	37%	26%
Support Coordination	186	10	18.6	100%	0%	0%	1.31	0.84	64%	44%	34%
Capacity Building total	187	23	8.1	97%	11%	0%	3.65	1.60	44%	45%	34%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	55	10	5.5	100%	0%	0%	0.30	0.12	39%	62%	53%
Home Modifications	2	1	2.0	100%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	229%	100%	100%
Capital total	55	10	5.5	100%	0%	0%	0.30	0.12	40%	62%	53%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	187	46	4.1	84%	27%	7%	12.11	4.57	38%	45%	34%

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.
Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions

Active participants with approved plans - Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan

Registered active providers - Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period

Participants per provider - Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers

Provider concentration - Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers

Provider growth - Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered

Provider shrinkage - Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered

Total plan budgets - Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period

Payments - Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))

Utilisation - Ratio between payments and total plan budgets


Outcomes indicator on choice and control - Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them

Has the NDIS helped with choice and control? - Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control






The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric - in other words - performing relatively well under the metric under consideration
 The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric - in other words - performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration

*Note: For some metrics - 'good' performance is considered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.
 For other metrics, a 'good' performance is considered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.*


Participant profile





Service provider indicators



Plan utilisation



Outcomes framework



Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	2	1	2.0	100%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	215%	0%	0%
Daily Activities	2	1	2.0	100%	0%	0%	0.03	0.00	17%	0%	0%
Community	2	1	2.0	100%	0%	0%	0.03	0.00	3%	0%	0%
Transport	2	1	2.0	100%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	16%	0%	0%
Core total	2	1	2.0	100%	0%	0%	0.06	0.01	11%	0%	0%
Capacity Building											
Daily Activities	2	3	0.7	100%	0%	0%	0.02	0.01	27%	0%	0%
Employment	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
Relationships	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
Social and Civic	1	1	1.0	100%	0%	0%	0.01	0.00	2%	0%	0%
Support Coordination	2	1	2.0	100%	0%	0%	0.01	0.01	126%	0%	0%
Capacity Building total	2	4	0.5	100%	0%	0%	0.05	0.02	47%	0%	0%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	1	3	0.3	100%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	82%	0%	0%
Home Modifications	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
Capital total	1	3	0.3	100%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	82%	0%	0%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	2	7	0.3	100%	0%	0%	0.11	0.03	29%	0%	0%

Indicator definitions

Active participants with approved plans - Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan

Registered active providers - Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period

Participants per provider - Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers

Provider concentration - Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers

Provider growth - Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered

Provider shrinkage - Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered

Total plan budgets - Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period

Payments - Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))

Utilisation - Ratio between payments and total plan budgets

Outcomes indicator on choice and control - Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them


Has the NDIS helped with choice and control? - Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control

The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration






The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration

Note: For some metrics – 'good' performance is considered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. For other metrics, a 'good' performance is considered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

Participant profile



Service provider indicators



Plan utilisation



Outcomes framework



Support category summary

Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	182	17	10.7	96%	0%	0%	0.16	0.08	49%	45%	33%
Daily Activities	182	20	9.1	91%	33%	33%	5.24	2.22	42%	45%	33%
Community	182	10	18.2	100%	25%	25%	2.50	0.49	20%	45%	33%
Transport	180	7	25.7	100%	0%	0%	0.21	0.06	27%	44%	33%
Core total	182	31	5.9	91%	40%	20%	8.10	2.84	35%	45%	33%
Capacity Building											
Daily Activities	185	17	10.9	99%	29%	0%	1.51	0.59	39%	45%	34%
Employment	36	1	36.0	100%	0%	0%	0.08	0.01	8%	50%	18%
Relationships	12	3	4.0	100%	0%	0%	0.11	0.01	6%	9%	13%
Social and Civic	122	6	20.3	100%	0%	0%	0.50	0.05	11%	37%	26%
Support Coordination	184	10	18.4	100%	0%	0%	1.30	0.83	64%	45%	34%
Capacity Building total	185	23	8.0	97%	11%	0%	3.60	1.58	44%	45%	34%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	54	9	6.0	100%	0%	0%	0.30	0.12	39%	62%	53%
Home Modifications	2	1	2.0	100%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	229%	100%	100%
Capital total	54	9	6.0	100%	0%	0%	0.30	0.12	39%	62%	53%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	185	45	4.1	84%	27%	7%	12.00	4.54	38%	45%	34%

Note: Only the major support categories are shown.
Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	Description
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers
Provider concentration	Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers
Provider growth	Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Provider shrinkage	Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control

The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration
The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration

*Note: For some metrics – 'good' performance is considered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.
For other metrics, a 'good' performance is considered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.*