District: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants





District: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	8,932	210	42.5	63%	48%	5%	7.39	4.62	62%	51%	66%
Daily Activities	8,925	331	27.0	53%	27%	12%	104.11	75.75	73%	51%	66%
Community	8,926	230	38.8	53%	10%	41%	58.72	22.89	39%	51%	66%
Transport	8,939	51	175.3 🔴	71%	0%	33%	8.05	8.03	100%	51%	66%
Core total	8,955	469	19.1	51%	18%	24%	178.26	111.29	62%	51%	66%
Capacity Building											
Daily Activities	9,225	402	22.9	52%	18%	10%	59.32	30.97	52%	51%	66%
Employment	542	45	12.0	72%	0%	15%	2.76	1.07	39%	48%	68%
Relationships	700	82	8.5	53%	27%	14%	3.42	1.47	43%	19% 🔴	56%
Social and Civic	2,620	104	25.2	53%	14%	43%	5.96	1.33	22%	49%	64%
Support Coordination	4,111	266	15.5	32%	19%	6%	10.24	7.50	73%	47%	65%
Capacity Building total	9,270	600	15.5	41%	17%	13%	86.17	45.96	53%	51%	66%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	1,795	145	12.4	47%	41%	18%	10.96	6.18	56%	59%	71%
Home Modifications	490	35	14.0	77%	50%	0%	2.61	1.54	59%	39%	77%
Capital total	1,951	163	12.0	43%	41%	20%	13.57	7.72	57%	56%	72%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	9.337	872	10.7	45%	21%	19%	278.01	164.98	59%	51%	66%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers or which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	red a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.

District: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)





District: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	255	57	4.5	81%	25%	0%	0.51	0.27	53%	17%	77%
Daily Activities	255	53	4.8	74%	32%	4%	29.08	26.17	90%	17%	77%
Community	255	62	4.1	76%	4%	54% 🔴	9.39	3.62	38%	17%	77%
Transport	255	14	18.2 🔴	99%	0%	0%	0.43	0.29	66%	17%	77%
Core total	255	113	2.3	70%	11%	22%	39.42	30.34	77%	17%	77%
Capacity Building											
Daily Activities	255	85	3.0	68%	15%	0%	1.90	1.00	53%	17%	77%
Employment	13	6	2.2	100%	0%	0%	0.09	0.02	26%	46%	91%
Relationships	90	37	2.4	68%	33%	33% 🔴	0.56	0.30	54%	13%	67%
Social and Civic	38	5	7.6	100%	0%	0%	0.15	0.02	15%	16%	64%
Support Coordination	255	68	3.8	60%	0%	20%	1.10	0.86	78%	17%	77%
Capacity Building total	255	148	1.7	48%	6%	16%	4.03	2.36	59%	17%	77%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	123	32	3.8	89%	50%	0%	0.99	0.57	57%	16%	76%
Home Modifications	234	10	23.4 🔴	100%	33%	0%	1.46	0.92	63%	16%	77%
Capital total	238	41	5.8	81%	43%	0%	2.45	1.48	60%	16%	78%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	255	220	1.2	64%	16%	20%	45.90	34.18	74%	17%	77%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have strunk by more than 125% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration
	red a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. sidered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

District: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)





District: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped wit choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	8,677	195	44.5 🔴	63%	50%	5%	6.87	4.34	63%	53%	65%
Daily Activities	8,670	320	27.1	58%	27%	18%	75.03	49.58	66%	53%	65%
Community	8,671	221	39.2	53%	9%	33%	49.32	19.27	39%	53%	65%
Transport	8,684	46	188.8 🔴	70%	0%	33%	7.61	7.75	102%	53%	65%
Core total	8,700	446	19.5	54%	17%	28%	138.84	80.94	58%	53%	65%
Capacity Building											
Daily Activities	8,970	377	23.8	52%	17%	11%	57.42	29.97	52%	53%	65%
Employment	529	45	11.8	72%	0%	15%	2.67	1.04	39%	48%	67%
Relationships	610	75	8.1	54%	19%	6%	2.87	1.17	41%	21%	53%
Social and Civic	2,582	103	25.1	53%	7%	50%	5.81	1.31	23%	50%	64%
Support Coordination	3,856	263	14.7	32%	17%	8%	9.14	6.65	73%	49%	64%
Capacity Building total	9,015	579	15.6	42%	15%	13%	82.14	43.60	53%	53%	65%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	1,672	137	12.2	43%	40%	20%	9.96	5.62	56%	64%	70%
Home Modifications	256	26	9.8	86%	100%	0%	1.15	0.63	54%	64%	77%
Capital total	1,713	147	11.7	41%	38%	21%	11.12	6.24	56%	64%	71%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	9,082	834	10.9	47%	18%	21%	232.11	130.79	56%	53%	65%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participants within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	red a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. ared a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.