District: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | All Participants





District: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | All Participants



Support category	participants proved plans		tered active oviders	Participants per provider	c	Provider oncentratio	n	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage		Total plan budgets (\$m)		Payments (\$m)	Utilisat	ion	Outcomes indicate choice and contr		Has the NDIS helpe choice and contr	
Core																			
Consumables	7.847		195	40.2	•	66%		19%	12%		7.13		4.60	65%		44%		73%	
Daily Activities	7.852		285	27.6	-	62%		21%	22%		166.61		128.67	77%		44%		73%	
Community	7.854		225	34.9		60%		5%	55%		79.09			37%		44%		73%	
Transport	7,866		55	143.0	•	75%		0%	80%		7.01	1.1	6.47	92%		44%		73%	
Core total	7,884		459	17.2	_	59%		11%	33%	_	259.85		168.96	65%	_	44%		73%	
Capacity Building																			
Daily Activities	8.148		361	22.6		61%		10%	21%		47.54		27.37	58%		44%		72%	
Employment	521		43	12.1		76%		5%	33%		3.62		1.58	44%		37%		72%	
Relationships	921		43 84	12.1		55%	•	5% 19%	13%		4.97		2.80	44% 56%		9%		70%	
Social and Civic	968		50	19.4		66%		0%	67%		1.93		0.47	24%		44%		69%	
Support Coordination	4.224		213	19.4	1	39%		2%	9%		10.70		7.73	72%		40%		73%	
Capacity Building total	 8,299		547	15.2		41%		7%	 15%		72.54	_	43.11	59%		45%	••••	72%	
																			_
Capital																			
Assistive Technology	1,955		141	13.9		46%		37%	17%		12.57		7.19	57%		50%		76%	
Home Modifications	1,082		47	23.0		66%		30%	20%		5.66		4.25	75%		23%		76%	.
Capital total	2,417		170	14.2		40%		37%	 18%		18.23		11.44	63%		41%		76%	
Missing	0		0	0.0		0%		0%	0%		0.00		0.00	0%		0%		0%	
All support categories	8,394	+	835	10.1		53%		13%	 24%		350.61		223.51	64%		45%		72%	

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

District: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)





District: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration		Provider growth	 Provider shrinkage		Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped wit choice and control?
Core													
Consumables	781	98	8.0	60%		21%	7%	4	1.31	• 0.80	61%	8%	75%
Daily Activities	781	86	9.1	73%		18%	15%		86.69	77.16	89%	8%	75%
Community	781	94	8.3	58%		2%	66%		24.46	7.66	31%	8%	75%
Transport	781	34	23.0	86%		0%	50%		1.29	0.85	66%	8%	75%
Core total	781	194	4.0	66%		10%	43%		113.74	86.48	76%	8%	75%
Capacity Building													
Daily Activities	770	129	6.0	61%		9%	25%		3.98	2.12	53%	7%	74%
Employment	43	15	2.9	97%		0%	67%		0.40	• 0.11	27%	14%	85%
Relationships	353	49	7.2	56%		28%	17%	1.1	1.95	1.18	60%	6%	73%
Social and Civic	12	2	6.0	100%	•	0%	0%		0.02	0.01	59%	17%	83%
Support Coordination	774	95	8.1	48%		0%	3%		2.29	1.82	80%	8%	75%
Capacity Building total	778	220	3.5	37%		11%	16%		9.16	5.67	62%	8%	75%
Capital													
Assistive Technology	355	63	5.6	69%		31%	46%		2.69	1.57	58%	10%	76%
Home Modifications	752	11	68.4 🔴	100%	•	0%	33%		3.98	2.91	73%	8%	75%
Capital total	763	74	10.3	63%		21%	42%		6.67	4.48	67%	8%	75%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%		0%	0%		0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	781	338	2.3	59%		14%	 34%		129.57	96.63	75%	8%	75%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	red a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. sidered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

District: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)





District: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)



upport category	ve participants approved plans		tered active oviders	Participants per provider	 Provider concentration	Provider growth		Provider shrinkage		Total plan budgets (\$m)	Р	Payments (\$m)	Utilisati	on	Outcomes indicato choice and contr	Has the NDIS helped choice and contro	
ore																	
Consumables	7,066		166	42.6	72%	31%		6%		5.82		3.80	65%		51%	72%	
Daily Activities	7,071		255	27.7	75%	18%		27%		79.92		51.51	64%		51%	72%	
Community	7,073		204	34.7	68%	6%		41%	•	54.63		21.56	39%		51%	72%	
Transport	7,085		37	191.5	82%	0%		33%		5.73		5.61	98%		51%	72%	
Core total	 7,103		393	18.1	72%	11%		36%		146.11		82.48	56%		51%	72%	
apacity Building																	
Daily Activities	7,378		311	23.7	62%	13%		17%		43.55		25.25	58%		51%	72%	
Employment	 478		41	11.7	75%	5%		35%		3.22	1.1	1.47	46%		39%	69%	
Relationships	 568		80	7.1	59%	9%		13%		3.02	1.1	1.62	54%		12%	71%	
Social and Civic	956		50	19.1	68%	0%		67%	•	1.91		0.46	24%	•	45%	69%	
Support Coordination	3,450		209	16.5	43%	4%		9%		8.41		5.90	70%		49%	72%	
Capacity Building total	7,521		503	15.0	44%	7%		14%		63.38		37.44	59%		51%	72%	
apital																	
Assistive Technology	 1.600		130	12.3	45%	35%	•	24%		9.88		5.62	57%		62%	77%	
Home Modifications	 330		37	8.9	76%	75%	•	0%	1.1	1.68	1.1	1.34	80%		61%	81%	- (
Capital total	 1,654		149	11.1	40%	39%		22%		11.56		6.95	60%		62%	77%	
Missing	0		0	0.0	0%	0%		0%		0.00		0.00	0%		0%	0%	
All support categories	7,613	+	756	10.1	 60%	 12%		26%		221.04		126.87	57%		52%	 72%	-

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of providers for which payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration
	The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. a lower score under the metric. For example, high utilisation providered a sign of a competitive market.