District: Hume Moreland (phase in date: 1 March 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants





District: Hume Moreland (phase in date: 1 March 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants



Support category	tive participants n approved plans		tered active roviders	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth		Provider shrinkage		Total plan budgets (\$m)	F	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes in choice and		Has the NDIS choice and	
Core																	
Consumables	 6,796		224	30.3	61%	43%		13%		5.66		3.69	65%	54%		68%	
Daily Activities	6,799		372	18.3	 47%	23%	-	20%		81,89		63,99	78%	54%		68%	
Community	6,796		271	25.1	37%	7%		43%	•	43,79			40%	54%		68%	
Transport	6,825		26	262.5	91%	 0%		43%	-	6.57		6.90	105%	54%		68%	
Core total	 6,837		565	12.1	 42%	 15%		26%		137.92		92.18	67%	54%		68%	
ooro total	0,001	_	000		 42.70	 1070	-	20/0				02110	0.70	047/0			
Capacity Building																	
Daily Activities	7,315		450	16.3	48%	25%		15%		44.22		24.03	54%	54%		68%	
Employment	 308		32	9.6	90%	8%		8%		2.51		1.36	54%	47%		68%	
Relationships	 530		76	7.0	56%	18%		24%		2.66		1.31	49%	18%	•	62%	
Social and Civic	 607		57	10.6	48%	0%		0%	1.1	1.43		0.37	26%	57%		60%	
Support Coordination	2,644		216	12.2	41%	12%		5%		7.03		5.36	76%	48%		66%	
Capacity Building total	7,370		600	12.3	39%	20%		9%		59.75		34.08	57%	55%		67%	
apital																	
Assistive Technology	 1,495		138	10.8	55%	30%		24%		8.72		5.49	63%	60%	•	74%	
Home Modifications	 427		40	10.7	72%	33%		56%	•	2.30	1.1	1.53	66%	40%	ē	75%	(
Capital total	 1,643		156	10.5	48%	34%		25%		11.02	•••••	7.02	64%	55%		74%	
Missing	 0		0	0.0	0%	0%		0%		0.00		0.00	0%	0%		0%	
All support categories	7,436		926	8.0	 35%	 17%		22%		208.69		133.28	64%	55%		67%	

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	I a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

District: Hume Moreland (phase in date: 1 March 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)





District: Hume Moreland (phase in date: 1 March 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth		Provider shrinkage		Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped wit choice and control?
Core													
Consumables	231	60	3.9	73%	0%		0%	4	0.43	0.23	53%	15%	73%
Daily Activities	234	63	3.7	75%	4%		21%		25.84	22.90	89%	16%	72%
Community	230	65	3.5	59%	10%		45%		7.10	2.37	33%	15%	73%
Transport	234	6	39.0 🔴	100%	0%		0%		0.41	0.27	66%	16%	72%
Core total	234	132	1.8	67%	2%		51%		33.78	25.77	76%	16%	72%
Capacity Building													
Daily Activities	233	86	2.7	69%	30%		20%		1.52	0.86	57%	16%	72%
Employment	7	3	2.3	100%	0%		0%	4	0.06	0.06	96%	43%	
Relationships	103	31	3.3	78%	50%		0%	1.1	0.52	0.30	57%	7%	69%
Social and Civic	7	2	3.5	100%	0%	_	0%	4	0.03	0.00	14%	57%	60%
Support Coordination	232	52	4.5	66%	8%		8%		0.83	0.68	82%	15%	73%
Capacity Building total	234	135	1.7	53%	22%		7%		3.06	1.98	65%	16%	72%
Capital													
Assistive Technology	89	25	3.6	84%	0%		67%	•	0.58	0.40	69%	16%	71%
Home Modifications	201	5	40.2 🔴	100%	50%		50%	•	1.26	0.71	57%	10%	73%
Capital total	206	30	6.9	76%	20%		60%		1.84	1.11	60%	10%	73%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%		0%		0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	234	222	1.1	61%	8%		38%		38.68	28.85	75%	16%	72%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of providers payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	red a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. Isidered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

District: Hume Moreland (phase in date: 1 March 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)





District: Hume Moreland (phase in date: 1 March 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped wit choice and control?
ore											
Consumables	6,565	216	30.4	61%	42%	11%	5.23	3.46	66%	57%	67%
Daily Activities	6,565	361	18.2	52%	26%	19%	56.05	41.09	73%	57%	67%
Community	6,566	261	25.2	39%	7%	37%	36.69	15.23	42%	57%	67%
Transport	6,591	23	286.6	93%	0%	0%	6.16	6.63	108%	57%	67%
Core total	6,603	544	12.1	46%	15%	24%	104.13	66.41	64%	57%	67%
apacity Building											
Daily Activities	7,082	433	16.4	47%	25%	17%	42.71	23.17	54%	57%	67%
Employment	301	31	9.7	90%	8%	8%	2.45	1.30	53%	48%	67%
Relationships	427	72	5.9	57%	29%	29%	2.14	1.01	47%	23%	59%
Social and Civic	600	56	10.7	49%	0%	0%	1.40	• 0.36	26%	58%	60%
Support Coordination	2,412	209	11.5	40%	11%	5%	6.19	4.68	76%	51%	65%
Capacity Building total	7,136	578	12.3	39%	19%	10%	56.69	32.10	57%	57%	67%
apital											
Assistive Technology	1,406	136	10.3	54%	31%	22%	8.14	5.10	63%	64%	74%
Home Modifications	226	36	6.3	76%	29%	57%	1.04	0.82	78%	72%	77%
Capital total	1,437	150	9.6	48%	34%	24%	9.18	5.91	64%	64%	74%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	7.202	896	8.0	37%	17%	22%	170.01	104.43	61%	57%	67%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 02% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	d a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. ad a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.