District: Bayside Peninsula (phase in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants





District: Bayside Peninsula (phase in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth		Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped w choice and control?
ore												
Consumables	13.064	217	60.2	75%	38%		6%	11.89	8.31	70%	53%	72%
Daily Activities	13,062	294	44.4	57%	17%		21%	212.76	165.85	78%	53%	72%
Community	13,064	222	58.8	68%	7%		47%	116.18	44.36	38%	53%	72%
Transport	13,079	56	233.6	81%	0%		50%	11.21	10.27	92%	53%	72%
Core total	13,108	450	29.1	56%	9%		33%	352.02	228.79	65%	53%	72%
apacity Building												
Daily Activities	13.048	332	39.3	68%	16%		12%	80.23	43.03	54%	53%	73%
Employment	724	52	13.9	69%	4%		38%	4.52	2,26	50%	46%	74%
Relationships	1.103	90	12.3	51%	25%		18%	6.45	2.82	44%	18%	72%
Social and Civic	2,268	64	35.4	77%	6%	_	29%	6.93	1.73	25%	56%	70%
Support Coordination	6,743	282	23.9	35%	10%		7%	16.81	11.49	68%	49%	71%
Capacity Building total	13,233	551	24.0	50%	13%		14%	121.88	67.00	55%	53%	72%
apital												
Assistive Technology	2,966	186	15.9	46%	22%		31%	18.28	10.72	59%	58%	78%
Home Modifications	1,229	57	21.6	63%	13%		8%	6.56	4.68	71%	34%	79%
Capital total	3,455	214	16.1	37%	17%		26%	24.84	15.40	62%	51%	78%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%		0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	13.374	837	16.0	52%	13%		29%	498.75	311.21	62%	53%	72%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of radius participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.

For other metrics, a 'good' performance is considered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

District: Bayside Peninsula (phase in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)







District: Bayside Peninsula (phase in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?
Core											
Consumables	840	86	9.8	71%	11%	0%	1.40	0.74	53%	17%	78%
Daily Activities	840	100	8.4	66%	17%	21%	87,99	81.43	93%	17%	78%
Community	840	86	9.8	67%	6%	54%	25.22	9.09	36%	17%	78%
Transport	840	30	28.0	83%	0%	0%	1.46	0.79	54%	17%	78%
Core total	840	181	4.6	59%	10%	29%	116.07	92.04	79%	17%	78%
Capacity Building											
Daily Activities	818	115	7.1	68%	11%	11%	4.18	1.99	48%	16%	77%
-	50	16	3.1	93%	1		0.32	0.14	40%	-	77%
Employment Relationships	307		3.1 6.1	93% 59%	0% 36%	50% 27%	1.77	0.14	44% 50%	22%	76%
		50	***				0.33			30%	
Social and Civic Support Coordination	64 836	12	5.3 8.8	98% 🔴 41%	0% 8%	100% 🔴 11%	2.45	0.06	18%	17%	78% 78%
							-	-	73%		
Capacity Building total	840	202	4.2	38%	15%	15%	9.66	5.39	56%	17%	78%
Capital											
Assistive Technology	334	67	5.0	69%	33%	20%	2.93	1.55	53%	16%	79%
Home Modifications	738	19	38.8 🔴	93%	0%	15%	4.40	3.11	71%	13%	78%
Capital total	760	85	8.9	60%	18%	18%	7.33	4.65	64%	14%	78%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	840	326	2.6	54%	14%	29%	133.05	102.08	77%	17%	78%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of providers payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration
	red a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. sidered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

District: Bayside Peninsula (phase in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)





District: Bayside Peninsula (phase in date: 1 April 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has the NDIS helped wit choice and control?
ore											
Consumables	12.224	193	63.3 🔴	76%	46%	7%	10.49	7.57	72%	57%	72%
Daily Activities	12,222	265	46.1	75%	16%	29%	124.77	84.42	68%	57%	72%
Community	12,224	206	59.3	72%	6%	40%	90.95	35.27	39%	57%	72%
Transport	12,239	44	278.2	83%	0%	40%	9.75	9.48	97%	57%	72%
Core total	12,268	397	30.9	74%	9%	38%	235.96	136.75	58%	57%	72%
apacity Building											
Daily Activities	12.230	298	41.0	68%	18%	11%	76.06	41.04	54%	57%	72%
Employment	674	51	13.2	71%	4%	28%	4.20	2.12	50%	48%	73%
Relationships	796	80	10.0	53%	32%	16%	4.68	1.93	41%	24%	68%
Social and Civic	2,204	62	35.5	78%	6%	29%	6.60	1.67	25%	57%	69%
Support Coordination	5,907	272	21.7	38%	9%	6%	14.37	9.69	67%	55%	70%
Capacity Building total	12,393	512	24.2	52%	12%	13%	112.22	61.62	55%	57%	72%
apital											
Assistive Technology	2,632	175	15.0	44%	19%	39%	15.35	9.18	60%	65%	78%
Home Modifications	491	44	11.2	74%	27%	0%	2.16	1.57	73%	68%	81%
Capital total	2,695	192	14.0	40%	20%	35%	17.51	10.75	61%	65%	78%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	12,534	768	16.3	64%	12%	31%	365.69	209.13	57%	58%	71%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers
Provider concentration	Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers
Provider growth	Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Provider shrinkage	Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period
Payments	Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcome in the test of the test of the sector	
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them
Has the NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric in other words performing relatively well under the metric under consideration
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	The ged rots indicate the both 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric in other words performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
-	
Note: For some metrics – 'good' performance is considered a	a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.
For other metrics, a 'good' performance is considered	a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.