District: Brimbank Melton (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants







District: Brimbank Melton (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants



ipport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provide growth		Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped wi choice and control
re												
Consumables	5.075	154	33.0	65%	33%		0%	4.03	2.25	56%	47%	59%
Daily Activities	4,983	252	19.8	58%	27%	-	12%	61.07	48.30	79%	47%	59%
Community	4,992	200	25.0	50%	27%		9%	34.46	20.76	60%	46%	59%
Transport	4,767	58	82.2 🔴	68%	0%		20%	5.03	5.13	102%	46%	59%
Core total	5,116	387	13.2	50%	28%		13%	104.59	76.44	73%	47%	59%
pacity Building												
Daily Activities	5,533	318	17.4	52%	26%		5%	29.38	13.95	47%	47%	59%
Employment	315	34	9.3	68%	8%		8%	1.43	0.61	43%	55%	60%
Social and Civic	1,370	70	19.6	59%	14%		14%	2.32	0.61	26%	44%	55%
Support Coordination	2,203	175	12.6	42%	13%		11%	4.83	3.21	66%	42%	59%
Capacity Building total	5,565	471	11.8	44%	22%		6%	43.13	21.34	49%	47%	59%
pital												
Assistive Technology	868	92	9.4	64%	47%		20%	3.84	2.61	68%	57%	66%
Home Modifications	300	18	16.7	95%	0%		0%	1.28	0.95	74%	29%	71%
Capital total	1,011	101	10.0	60%	40%		20%	5.12	3.55	69%	50%	68%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%		0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	5.592	716	7.8	46%	23%		11%	152.85	101.34	66%	47%	59%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. dered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

District: Brimbank Melton (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)





District: Brimbank Melton (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)



pport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage		Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped choice and con
re												
Consumables	193	42	4.6	77%	100%	0%	4	0.35	0.13	38%	11%	70%
Daily Activities	193	49	3.9	83%	36%	9%		23.92	22.44	94%	11%	70%
Community	193	65	3.0	62%	38% 🔵	10%		7.00	4.64	66%	11%	70%
Transport	193	18	10.7 🔴	86%	0%	0%	4	0.33	• 0.21	64%	11%	70%
Core total	193	103	1.9	70%	36%	14%		31.60	27.43	87%	11%	70%
pacity Building												
Daily Activities	193	56	3.4	68%	17%	0%	-	0.99	0.42	43%	11%	70%
Employment	6	3	2.0	100%	0%	0%		0.02	0.01	38%	33%	100%
Social and Civic	23	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	+	0.04	0.00	0% 🔴	18%	73%
Support Coordination	192	51	3.8	64%	0%	33%	•	0.67	0.45	68%	11%	70%
Capacity Building total	193	116	1.7	46%	12%	12%		2.29	1.15	50%	11%	70%
pital												
Assistive Technology	60	15	4.0	98%	0%	100%	•	0.24	+ 0.13	54%	12%	72%
Home Modifications	174	4	43.5	100%	0%	0%		0.89	0.75	85%	11%	71%
Capital total	176	19	9.3	94%	0%	100%		1.13	0.88	78%	11%	72%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%		0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	193	193	1.0	67%	35%	18%		35.03	29.46	84%	11%	70%

Indicator definitions	
indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	lered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.

District: Brimbank Melton (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)





District: Brimbank Melton (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)



Support category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped wi choice and control
ore											
Consumables	4.882	148	33.0 🔴	66%	27%	0%	3.68	2.12	58%	49%	58%
Daily Activities	4.790	233	20.6	61%	25%	15%	37.15	25.86	70%	49%	58%
Community	4.799	188	25.5	52%	22%	16%	27.46	16.12	59%	49%	58%
Transport	4,574	52	88.0 🔴	72%	0%	33%	4.70	4.91	105%	49%	58%
Core total	4,923	367	13.4	54%	24%	17%	72.99	49.01	67%	50%	58%
apacity Building											
Daily Activities	5,340	310	17.2	53%	29%	5%	28.39	13.53	48%	49%	58%
Employment	309	34	9.1	69%	8%	8%	1.41	0.60	43%	55%	60%
Social and Civic	1,347	70	19.2	59%	14%	14%	2.28	0.61	27%	45%	55%
Support Coordination	2,011	171	11.8	41% 🔵	13%	5%	4.17	2.76	66%	46%	58%
Capacity Building total	5,372	459	11.7	46%	23%	6%	40.84	20.19	49%	50%	59%
apital											
Assistive Technology	808	88	9.2	63%	47%	20%	3.60	2.48	69%	62%	65%
Home Modifications	126	14	9.0	99%	0%	0%	0.39	+ 0.19	49%	56%	69%
Capital total	835	94	8.9	59%	40%	20%	3.99	2.67	67%	61%	66%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	5,399	688	7.8	48%	21%	13%	117.82	71.88	61%	50%	59%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all parments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plans hodgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. idered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.