District: Caboolture/Strathpine (phase in date: 1 January 2019) | Support Category: All | All Participants







Population between 5,000 and 15,000

0%

0%

Missing

stated

CALD

CALD

7 (Medium)

8 (Medium)

9 (Medium)

Intellectual Disability

Psychosocial disability

Multiple Sclerosis

25 to 34

35 to 44

District: Caboolture/Strathpine (phase in date: 1 January 2019) | Support Category: All | All Participants



pport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped w choice and contro
re											
Consumables	6.013	206	29.2	53%	54%	4%	7.28	3.99	55%	48%	74%
Daily Activities	5,868	274	21.4	42%	25%	10%	111.81	83.24	74%	48%	74%
Community	5,880	212	27.7	39%	33%	6%	54.87	32.82	60%	48%	74%
Transport	5,670	79	71.8 🔴	49%	0%	0%	4.75	4.55	96%	48%	74%
Core total	6,064	421	14.4	39%	29%	9%	178.70	124.60	70%	48%	74%
pacity Building											
Daily Activities	6.212	359	17.3	45%	40%	1%	37.41	17.91	48%	48%	74%
Employment	351	32	11.0	86%	0%	0%	2.17	1.24	57%	41%	77%
Social and Civic	990	69	14.3	56%	20%	20%	2.41	0.74	31%	42%	59%
Support Coordination	2,221	187	11.9	34%	24%	2%	5.28	3.34	63%	40%	71%
Capacity Building total	6,262	482	13.0	37%	29%	1%	52.10	26.22	50%	48%	74%
pital											
Assistive Technology	2,068	184	11.2	53%	47%	9%	14.53	9.09	63%	58%	78%
Home Modifications	548	45	12.2	78%	13%	13% 🔴	1.68	1.16	69%	57%	78%
Capital total	2,168	205	10.6	48%	44%	10%	16.21	10.25	63%	57%	78%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	6.272	780	8.0	36%	32%	6%	247.02	161.08	65%	48%	74%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all parments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plans hodgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. dered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

District: Caboolture/Strathpine (phase in date: 1 January 2019) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)





District: Caboolture/Strathpine (phase in date: 1 January 2019) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped w choice and contro
Dre											
Consumables	361	69	5.2	64%	67%	0%	0.62	+ 0.30	49%	14%	81%
Daily Activities	364	102	3.6	68%	25%	10%	43.23	41.26	95%	15%	81%
Community	364	96	3.8	52%	25%	2%	10.73	7.71	72%	15%	81%
Transport	360	51	7.1	58%	0%	0%	0.49	0.28	57%	15%	81%
Core total	364	168	2.2	63%	22%	9%	55.08	49.55	90%	15%	81%
apacity Building											
Daily Activities	361	124	2.9	40%	14%	14% 🔴	1.93	0.90	47%	15%	82%
Employment	20	5	4.0	100% 🔴	50%	0%	0.12	* 0.10	90%	25%	100%
Social and Civic	23	4	5.8	100%	0%	0%	0.09	0.01	11% 🔴	45%	50%
Support Coordination	362	93	3.9	42%	22%	22%	1.02	0.73	71%	15%	81%
Capacity Building total	365	192	1.9	32%	35%	10%	4.06	2.18	54%	15%	81%
apital											
Assistive Technology	174	42	4.1	80%	50%	0%	1.01	0.51	50%	15%	87%
Home Modifications	82	7	11.7 🔴	100% 🔴	25%	0%	0.45	0.45	101% 🔵	13%	83%
Capital total	221	48	4.6	82%	38%	0%	1.46	0.96	66%	14%	85%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	365	295	1.2	60%	23%	8%	60.59	52.70	87%	15%	81%

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of acting participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of providers payments have prior that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric — in other words — performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric — in other words — performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	tered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. onsidered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

Psychosocial disability

35 to 44

9 (Medium)

District: Caboolture/Strathpine (phase in date: 1 January 2019) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)







District: Caboolture/Strathpine (phase in date: 1 January 2019) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped w choice and control
Dre											
Consumables	5.652	197	28.7	53%	58%	4%	6.65	3.69	55%	52%	73%
Daily Activities	5.504	250	22.0	51%	27%	15%	68.58	41.98	61%	52%	73%
Community	5.516	197	28.0	41%	30%	7%	44,13	25.11	57%	52%	73%
Transport	5,310	55	96.5	57%	0%	0%	4.26	4.27	100%	52%	73%
Core total	5,700	392	14.5	44%	34%	11%	123.62	75.04	61%	52%	73%
apacity Building											
Daily Activities	5,851	337	17.4	47%	38%	2%	35.47	17.01	48%	52%	73%
Employment	331	29	11.4	88%	0%	0%	2.05	1.14	56%	42%	76%
Social and Civic	967	68	14.2	55%	25%	25%	2.32	0.73	31%	42%	59%
Support Coordination	1,859	173	10.7	36%	29%	0%	4.26	2.61	61%	46%	68%
Capacity Building total	5,897	455	13.0	40%	29%	1%	48.04	24.04	50%	52%	73%
apital											
Assistive Technology	1,894	175	10.8	52%	45%	10%	13.52	8.58	63%	64%	77%
Home Modifications	466	40	11.7	75%	0%	0%	1.23	0.71	58%	66%	77%
Capital total	1,947	193	10.1	48%	45%	9%	14.75	9.29	63%	63%	77%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	5,907	738	8.0	39%	35%	6%	186.43	108.38	58%	52%	73%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all parments over the exposure period, including parments to providers, parments to participants, and off-system parments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between parments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. idered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.