District: Western Sydney (phase in date: 1 July 2016) | Support Category: All | All Participants







District: Western Sydney (phase in date: 1 July 2016) | Support Category: All | All Participants



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped choice and con	
ore												
Consumables	9,528	274	34.8	65%	9%	6%	8.74	4.87	56%	44%	71%	
Daily Activities	9.549	537	17.8	47%	17%	18%	233.20	194.58	83%	44%	71%	
Community	9,565	414	23.1	37%	10%	17%	79.81	57.38	72%	43%	71%	
Transport	9,425	* 6	1,570.8	100%	0%	0%	17.48	18.90	108%	43%	71%	
Core total	9,997	774	12.9	40%	15%	14%	339.23	275.73	81%	44%	71%	
apacity Building												
Daily Activities	13.084	775	16.9	28%	7%	14%	58.82	35.34	60%	44%	71%	
Employment	1,358	59	23.0	77%	0%	17%	8.77	6.16	70%	40%	72%	
Social and Civic	619	67	9.2	59%	0%	0%	+ 1.17	0.26	22%	43%	71%	
Support Coordination	4.002	270	14.8	33%	9%	14%	7.66	5,36	70%	35%	75%	
Capacity Building total	13,326	926	14.4	27%	8%	14%	86.28	52.86	61%	44%	71%	
apital												
Assistive Technology	3,367	209	16.1	68%	20%	27%	11.68	8.60	74%	54%	74%	
Home Modifications	919	63	14.6	65%	21%	29%	4.62	3.66	79%	25%	82%	
Capital total	3,738	251	14.9	55%	21%	26%	16.30	12.26	75%	49%	75%	
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	
All support categories	13.482	1.375	9.8	35%	15%	14%	441.82	340.86	77%	44%	71%	_

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have strunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant pans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. dered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

District: Western Sydney (phase in date: 1 July 2016) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)





District: Western Sydney (phase in date: 1 July 2016) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)



pport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped w choice and contro
re											
Consumables	978	65	15.0	83%	0%	0%	1.67	+ 0.67	40%	12%	82%
Daily Activities	1.014	135	7.5	65%	14%	7%	134.05	125.12	93%	12%	82%
Community	1.002	157	6.4	49%	5%	14%	20.74	15.12	73%	12%	82%
Transport	1.006	• 1	1,006.0	100%	0%	0%	1.33	1.25	94%	11%	82%
Core total	1,014	253	4.0	61%	13%	10%	157.80	142.17	90%	12%	82%
pacity Building											
Daily Activities	1,002	211	4.7	36%	10%	20%	3.53	1.88	53%	12%	82%
Employment	140	22	6.4	88%	0%	0%	+ 0.93	+ 0.78	84%	21%	83%
Social and Civic	33	7	4.7	100% 🔴	0%	0%	0.44	0.01	2% 🔴	31%	71%
Support Coordination	1,008	122	8.3	43%	3%	17%	2.06	1.49	72%	11%	82%
Capacity Building total	1,014	311	3.3	37%	8%	14%	9.86	5.84	59%	12%	82%
pital											
Assistive Technology	407	46	8.8	91%	33%	33% 🔴	1.62	+ 0.86	53%	11%	84%
Home Modifications	629	29	21.7 🔴	83%	19% 🔵	19%	3.41	2.60	76%	8%	84%
Capital total	739	74	10.0	70%	26%	22%	5.03	3.46	69%	10%	83%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	1.016	472	2.2	58%	13%	11%	172.69	151.47	88%	12%	82%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 10% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all parments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. considered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

Psychosocial disability

35 to 44

9 (Medium)

District: Western Sydney (phase in date: 1 July 2016) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)







District: Western Sydney (phase in date: 1 July 2016) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)



pport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped w choice and contro
re											
Consumables	8.550	264	32.4	63%	6%	6%	7.07	4.20	59%	49%	69%
Daily Activities	8.535	505	16.9	34%	18%	19%	99.15	69.46	70%	49%	69%
Community	8,563	381	22.5	37%	12%	17%	59.07	42.26	72%	49%	69%
Transport	8,419	+ 5	1.683.8	100%	0%	0%	16.15	17.64	109%	49%	69%
Core total	8,983	731	12.3	31%	16%	16%	181.43	133.56	74%	49%	69%
pacity Building											
Daily Activities	12,082	746	16.2	29%	7%	14%	55.29	33.46	61%	49%	69%
Employment	1,218	56	21.8	78%	0%	14%	7.84	5.38	69%	43%	70%
Social and Civic	586	61	9.6	62%	0%	0%	0.73	+ 0.25	34% 🔴	44%	71%
Support Coordination	2,994	254	11.8	35%	11%	6%	5.60	3.88	69%	45%	72%
Capacity Building total	12,312	884	13.9	28%	10%	13%	76.42	47.02	62%	49%	69%
pital											
Assistive Technology	2,960	201	14.7	66%	18% 🔵	18%	10.06	7.74	77%	62%	72%
Home Modifications	290	34	8.5	71%	29% 🔵	57% 🔴	1.22	1.06	87%	66%	78%
Capital total	2,999	216	13.9	58%	21%	23%	11.27	8.81	78%	62%	72%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	12,466	1,302	9.6	26%	14%	15%	269.13	189.39	70%	50%	69%

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the district / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the district / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have strunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of districts / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	ered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. Itered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.