Region: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Psychosocial disability

35 to 44

9 (Medium)







tated

Missing

Region: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | All Participants



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped w choice and contro
pre						_					
Consumables	5,040	141	35.7	63%	40%	0%	4.36	1.53	35%	51%	69%
	4.111	274					60.15	39.37			
Daily Activities	.,		15.0	62%	53%	5%			65%	49%	70%
Community	4,280	215	19.9	55%	70%	9%	35.16	17.10	49%	49%	70%
Transport	2,693	60	44.9 🔴	69%	0%	0%	4.81	4.55	95% 🔵	47%	71%
Core total	5,434	408	13.3	51%	57%	7%	104.48	62.55	60%	51%	70%
pacity Building											
Daily Activities	5,921	370	16.0	46%	77%	0%	24.43	11.22	46%	51%	70%
Employment	407	38	10.7	74%	77%	0%	1.92	1,17	61%	48%	82%
Social and Civic	1.846	89	20.7	49%	100%	0%	4.12	0.79	19%	49%	69%
Support Coordination	2.635	168	15.7	38%	51%	3%	5.76	3.57	62%	47%	70%
Capacity Building total	5,974	512	11.7	38%	66%	3%	40.30	18.64	46%	51%	70%
ipital											
Assistive Technology	988	89	11.1	79%	44%	11% 🔴	4.28	2.32	54%	60%	72%
Home Modifications	383	14	27.4	94%	100%	0%	1.46	0.56	39%	37%	73%
Capital total	1,185	99	12.0	75%	50%	10%	5.74	2.88	50%	53%	72%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	6.022	759	7.9	44%	61%	6%	150.56	84.15	56%	51%	69%

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the region / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the region / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the tops 10 providers for which payments are grown by more than 100% compared to the providers of the periods exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shown by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. dered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

Region: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)





Region: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped wi choice and control
ore											
Consumables	217	23	9.4	83%	0%	0%	0.30	+ 0.08	26%	16%	76%
Daily Activities	225	44	5.1	94%	64%	18%	16.67	13.77	83%	16%	78%
Community	227	56	4.1	69%	61%	11%	5,43	2.95	54%	17%	77%
Transport	224	19	11.8	95%	0%	0%	0.28	0.14	50%	16%	76%
Core total	228	79	2.9	72%	60%	12%	22.69	16.93	75%	17%	78%
apacity Building											
Daily Activities	227	52	4.4	60%	0%	0%	0.77	0.23	30%	17%	78%
Employment	9	4	2.3	100%	0%	0%	0.04	+ 0.02	51%	38%	100%
Social and Civic	61	3	20.3 🔴	100%	0%	0%	0.09	0.01	6% 🔴	31%	100%
Support Coordination	226	36	6.3	71%	50%	0%	0.70	0.42	61%	16%	78%
Capacity Building total	228	90	2.5	48%	33%	0%	1.98	0.79	40%	17%	78%
apital											
Assistive Technology	52	7	7.4	100%	0%	100%	0.18	+ 0.10	52%	12%	100%
Home Modifications	197	7	28.1 🔴	100%	100%	0%	0.85	0.50	58%	17%	75%
Capital total	205	14	14.6	95%	50%	50%	1.03	0.59	57%	17%	76%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	228	142	1.6	68%	58%	10%	25.70	18.32	71%	17%	78%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the region / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the region / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.

Psychosocial disability

35 to 44

9 (Medium)

Region: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)







Region: Western Melbourne (phase in date: 1 October 2018) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped w choice and contro
ore											
Consumables	4.823	137	35.2 🔴	63%	40%	0%	4.06	1.45	36%	53%	69%
Daily Activities	3,886	263	14.8	62%	47%	6%	43,47	25.60	59%	52%	69%
Community	4.053	203	20.0	56%	71%	7%	29.73	14.15	48%	52%	70%
Transport	2,469	50	49.4	70%	0%	0%	4.53	4.42	97%	50%	71%
Core total	5,206	393	13.2	55%	56%	8%	81.79	45.62	56%	53%	69%
pacity Building											
Daily Activities	5,694	364	15.6	45%	77% 🔵	0%	23.66	10.98	46%	53%	69%
Employment	398	38	10.5	74%	77% 🔵	0%	1.88	1.15	61%	48%	80%
Social and Civic	1,785	89	20.1	49%	0%	0%	4.03	0.78	19%	50%	67%
Support Coordination	2,409	167	14.4	38%	47%	3%	5.06	3.15	62%	50%	69%
Capacity Building total	5,746	504	11.4	38%	68%	3%	38.33	17.85	47%	53%	69%
ipital											
Assistive Technology	936	86	10.9	79%	44%	11% 🔴	4.10	2.22	54%	64%	71%
Home Modifications	186	7	26.6	100% 🔴	0%	0%	0.61	+ 0.07	11% 🔴	61%	71%
Capital total	980	90	10.9	78%	44%	11%	4.71	2.29	49%	64%	71%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	5.794	730	7.9	46%	60%	5%	124.86	65.83	53%	54%	69%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the region / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the region / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which sparsents have shown by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. idered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.