Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Psychosocial disability

35 to 44

9 (Medium)







## Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | All Participants



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped wit choice and control
ore											
Consumables	5,718	158	36.2 🔴	66%	6%	11%	5.93	2.45	41%	40%	64%
Daily Activities	5,174	283	18.3	69%	23%	19%	130.15	104.62	80%	40%	64%
Community	5,433	221	24.6	51%	21%	14%	63.01	35.70	57%	40%	64%
Transport	3,513	68	51.7 🔴	77%	20%	0%	6.19	5.97	96%	37%	65%
Core total	6,205	432	14.4	59%	19%	16%	205.28	148.74	72%	41%	64%
apacity Building											
Daily Activities	6.452	423	15.3	54%	13%	7%	30.08	18.52	62%	40%	64%
Employment	555	44	12.6	85%	0%	10%	3.42	2.62	77%	38%	62%
Social and Civic	605	47	12.9	71%	13%		1.42	0.56	39%	43%	60%
Support Coordination	3.718	178	20.9	48%	15%	6%	8.60	5.81	68%	37%	63%
Capacity Building total	6,691	581	11.5	39%	16%	6%	50.11	31.21	62%	41%	64%
apital											
Assistive Technology	1,862	104	17.9	74%	64%	14%	7.35	4.02	55%	44%	66%
Home Modifications	1.095	32	34.2	87%	0%	0%	4.11	2.61	64%	25%	67%
Capital total	2,270	126	18.0	69%	53%	12%	11.46	6.64	58%	37%	66%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	6.793	873	7.8	52%	23%	13%	266.86	186.92	70%	41%	64%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the region / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the region / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
	The green dots indicate the top 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. dered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)







Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped w choice and contro
bre											
Consumables	684	71	9.6	69%	0%	14%	1.17	+ 0.48	41%	7%	64%
Daily Activities	710	83	8.6	85%	10%	16% 🔴	70.44	68.32	97%	7%	65%
Community	706	113	6.2	55%	23%	11%	19.12	12.42	65%	7%	65%
Transport	703	41	17.1 🔴	77%	0%	0%	0.97	0.68	70%	7%	65%
Core total	710	179	4.0	71%	18%	9%	91.70	81.90	89%	7%	65%
apacity Building											
Daily Activities	690	126	5.5	50%	33%	0%	2.46	1.22	50%	7%	65%
Employment	55	12	4.6	99%	0%	0%	0.39	0.34	89%	19%	81%
Social and Civic	11	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.02	0.00	0%	36%	83%
Support Coordination	707	74	9.6	54%	15%	0%	1.56	1.22	78%	7%	65%
Capacity Building total	709	192	3.7	38%	18%	0%	5.81	3.46	60%	7%	65%
pital											
Assistive Technology	338	36	9.4	95%	100%	0%	1.44	0.67	47%	6%	63%
Home Modifications	663	8	82.9	100%	0%	0%	3.16	2.20	70%	6%	64%
Capital total	674	43	15.7	92%	50%	0%	4.59	2.87	62%	6%	64%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	710	313	2.3	67%	24%	6%	102.11	88.23	86%	7%	65%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the region / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the region / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period. Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.

Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)







Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)



pport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped w choice and contro
re											
Consumables	5.034	144	35.0 🔴	70%	8%	8%	4.76	1.97	41%	47%	63%
Daily Activities	4.464	263	17.0	75%	25%	25%	59.72	36.31	61%	47%	64%
Community	4,727	207	22.8	58%	21%	18%	43.89	23.28	53%	46%	64%
Transport	2,810	49	57.3 🔴	82%	25%	0%	5.22	5.29	101%	44%	65%
Core total	5,495	400	13.7	67%	21%	20%	113.58	66.84	59%	48%	63%
pacity Building											
Daily Activities	5,762	386	14.9	56%	13%	9%	27.62	17.31	63%	47%	64%
Employment	500	44	11.4	84%	0%	11%	3.03	2.28	75%	40%	60%
Social and Civic	594	47	12.6	71%	14%	29%	1.40	0.56	40%	43%	60%
Support Coordination	3,011	175	17.2	51%	16%	5%	7.05	4.59	65%	45%	62%
Capacity Building total	5,982	541	11.1	41%	11%	8%	44.30	27.75	63%	48%	63%
pital											
Assistive Technology	1,524	99	15.4	71%	58%	17%	5.91	3.35	57%	56%	67%
Home Modifications	432	24	18.0	91% 🔴	0%	0%	0.96	0.41	43%	60%	72%
Capital total	1,596	114	14.0	68%	54%	15%	6.87	3.76	55%	55%	67%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	6.083	812	7.5	56%	20%	13%	164.75	98.68	60%	48%	63%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the region / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the region / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which sparsents have shown by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. idered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.