Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | All Participants

Psychosocial disability

35 to 44

9 (Medium)







Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | All Participants



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped w choice and contro
ore											
Consumables	4.786	116	41.3	72%	45%	0%	5.14	1.89	37%	38%	63%
Daily Activities	4.609	238	19.4	72%	21%	11%	112.58	87.52	78%	38%	62%
Community	4.774	194	24.6	55%	27%	8%	51.05	27.10	53%	38%	63%
Transport	3,318	60	55.3	71%	0%	0%	5.13	4.65	91%	35%	64%
Core total	5,372	359	15.0	63%	26%	6%	173.91	121.17	70%	39%	62%
apacity Building											
Daily Activities	5,725	395	14.5	53%	29%	6%	24.33	13.10	54%	38%	63%
Employment	553	41	13.5	87%	6%	0%	3.23	2.28	71%	39% 🔴	60%
Social and Civic	613	46	13.3	76%	0%	67%	1.78	0.48	27%	42%	55%
Support Coordination	3,390	143	23.7	53%	24%	12%	7.37	4.06	55%	35%	61%
Capacity Building total	6,001	526	11.4	42%	24%	7%	42.40	22.77	54%	39%	63%
apital											
Assistive Technology	1,821	81	22.5	86%	20%	20%	5.97	2.04	34%	42%	65%
Home Modifications	1,050	23	45.7 🔴	96% 🔴	50%	0%	3.48	2.22	64%	24%	66%
Capital total	2,183	96	22.7	81%	25%	25%	9.45	4.26	45%	36%	65%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	6.082	777	7.8	57%	27%	7%	225.79	148.78	66%	39%	62%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the region / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the region / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plant budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. dered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)





Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants in Supported Independent Living (SIL)



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth		Provider shrinkage		Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helpe choice and co	
													1	
bre														
Consumables	676	55	12.3	77%	33%		0%		1.08	+ 0.35	33%	6%	61%	
Daily Activities	696	67	10.4	88%	17%		17%	•	60.00	57.41	96%	6%	61%	
Community	693	96	7.2	60%	28%		4%		15.97	8.97	56%	6%	61%	
Transport	690	31	22.3 🔴	79%	0%		0%	4	0.86	• 0.50	58%	6%	61%	
Core total	696	149	4.7	75%	23%		8%		77.91	67.24	86%	6%	61%	
													1	
pacity Building														
Daily Activities	658	97	6.8	58%	57%		0%		1.93	0.63	33%	6%	61%	
Employment	53	12	4.4	100%	33%		0%	+	0.34	* 0.30	86%	17%	100%	
Social and Civic	+ 8	3	2.7	100% 🔴	0%		0%		0.02	0.00	19%	25%	100%	
Support Coordination	693	71	9.8	58%	33%		8%		1.38	0.83	60%	6%	61%	
Capacity Building total	696	171	4.1	44%	43%		11%		4.79	2.19	46%	6%	61%	
													1	
pital														
Assistive Technology	358	24	14.9	96%	0%	_	50%	•	1.04	• 0.16	16% 🔴	5%	57%	
Home Modifications	633	7	90.4 🔴	100% 🔴	100%		0%		2.62	1.95	74%	6%	59%	
Capital total	657	30	21.9	94%	33%		33%		3.65	2.11	58%	6%	59%	
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%		0%		0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%	
All support categories	696	267	2.6	72%	28%		9%		86.38	71.55	83%	6%	61%	

Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the region / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the region / support category, over the exposure period
Participants per provider	Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers
Provider concentration	Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers
Provider growth	Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Provider shrinkage	Proportion of providers for which payments have shrunk by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period
Payments	Value of all payments over the exoosure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC))
Utilisation	Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them
Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
_	
	The green dots indicate the top 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration
	The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
Note: For some metrics - 'good' performance is conside	ered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need.
	nsidered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.

Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)







Region: Inner East Melbourne (phase in date: 1 November 2017) | Support Category: All | Participants not in Supported Independent Living (Non-SIL)



upport category	Active participants with approved plans	Registered active providers	Participants per provider	Provider concentration	Provider growth	Provider shrinkage	Total plan budgets (\$m)	Payments (\$m)	Utilisation	Outcomes indicator on choice and control	Has NDIS helped w choice and control
pre											
Consumables	4.110	100	41.1 🔴	76%	33%	0%	4.07	1.53	38%	45%	63%
Daily Activities	3.913	225	17.4	73%	16%	13%	52,58	30,12	57%	45%	63%
Community	4.081	184	22.2	59%	18%	12%	35.08	18.12	52%	45%	63%
Transport	2,628	50	52.6	78%	0%	0%	4.28	4.16	97%	43%	64%
Core total	4,676	336	13.9	65%	16%	12%	96.00	53.93	56%	46%	63%
			1								
pacity Building											
Daily Activities	5,067	371	13.7	54%	27%	6%	22.40	12.47	56%	46%	64%
Employment	500	40	12.5	86%	0%	0%	2.89	1.99	69%	41% 🔴	58%
Social and Civic	605	45	13.4	76%	0%	50%	1.76	0.48	27%	43%	54%
Support Coordination	2,697	137	19.7	54%	24%	12%	5.99	3.23	54%	43%	61%
Capacity Building total	5,305	496	10.7	44%	22%	5%	37.61	20.58	55%	46%	63%
pital											
Assistive Technology	1,463	76	19.3	87%	0%	25%	4.93	1.87	38%	54%	67%
Home Modifications	417	18	23.2	98% 🔴	0%	0%	0.86	0.28	32%	57%	72%
Capital total	1,526	87	17.5	83%	0%	20%	5.80	2.15	37%	54%	67%
Missing	0	0	0.0	0%	0%	0%	0.00	0.00	0%	0%	0%
All support categories	5,386	730	7.4	55%	20%	9%	139.42	77.22	55%	47%	62%

Note: A utilisation rate may be above 100% due to the fungibility of core supports. This refers to the ability of participants to use their funding flexibly between different support types, albeit within certain limitations.

Indicator definitions	
Active participants with approved plans	Number of active participants who have an approved plan and reside in the region / have supports relating to the support category in their plan
Registered active providers Participants per provider Provider concentration Provider growth Provider shrinkage	Number of registered service providers that have provided a support to a participant within the region / support category, over the exposure period Ratio between the number of active participants and the number of registered service providers Proportion of provider payments over the exposure period that were paid to the top 10 providers Proportion of providers for which payments have grown by more than 100% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered Proportion of providers for which payments have shorts by more than 25% compared to the previous exposure period. Only providers that received more than \$10k in payments in both exposure periods have been considered
Total plan budgets Payments Utilisation	Value of supports committed in participant plans for the exposure period Value of all payments over the exposure period, including payments to providers, payments to participants, and off-system payments (in-kind and Younger People In Residential Aged Care (YPIRAC)) Ratio between payments and total plan budgets
Outcomes indicator on choice and control Has NDIS helped with choice and control?	Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that they choose who supports them Proportion of participants who reported in their most recent outcomes survey that the NDIS has helped with choice and control
•	The green dots indicate the top 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively well under the metric under consideration The red dots indicate the bottom 10% of regions / support categories when ranked by performance against benchmark for the given metric – in other words – performing relatively poorly under the metric under consideration
	dered a higher score under the metric. For example, high utilisation rates are considered a sign of a functioning market where participants have access to the supports they need. idered a lower score under the metric. For example, a low provider concentration is considered a sign of a competitive market.